NAAB RESPONSE TO MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY
2011 ANNUAL REPORT

Date Report Received: November 22, 2011
Year of Next Visit: 2016
Focused Evaluation: N

Section One:
Checklist of required elements

Part I Statistical Report  √Included  Not Included
Part II Narrative Report  √Included  Not Included

Section Two:
Assessment of Narrative Report

DEFICIENCIES
13.14 Accessibility
No new information: The program’s 2011 response to this deficiency is unchanged from the response presented in the 2010 report. The 2010 report stated that “student examples demonstrating this ability” would be included in the 2011 AR, but none were included. The 2011 report states that examples will be included in the 2012 AR.

13.23 Building Systems Integration
No new information

13.26 Technical Documentation
No new information

13.28 Comprehensive Design
No new information

CAUSES OF CONCERN
Strategic Planning
Satisfied; no further reporting required.

Human Resources
Satisfied; no further reporting required.

Social Equity
The program’s efforts to address this concern are commendable. Please continue to report on progress, especially on how the Pre-Architecture Advisory System is working to recruit nontraditional and minority students.

Role of Master’s program
Please continue to report on collaborative efforts between the BCS program and the architecture program.

General Education
Please note that the NAAB did provide a clarification of the general education requirement in the 2010 annual report. Following is the response provided in 2010:

“Please continue to report on the faculty’s deliberations about the General Education requirement. The architectural history courses could be used to meet the General Education requirement if any student (e.g., a business major) may enroll in the course. In other words, these are courses that any student in the university may take; enrollment is not restricted to architecture students.”

CHANGES TO THE ACCREDITED PROGRAM